NEW YORK

CALIFORNIA

DELAWARE

PENNSYLVANIA

September 12, 2016

BY ECF

The Honorable George B. Daniels United States District Judge Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312

> Re: In re Sling Media Slingbox Advertising Litigation, Civ. Action No. 1:15 Civ. 05388

Dear Judge Daniels:

We represent Plaintiffs in the above-referenced action.

In the Court's order of August 12, 2016 (ECF No. 40), the Court dismissed Plaintiffs' claims and invited Plaintiffs to submit a proposed amended complaint for the Court's consideration.

As this Court is well aware, leave to amend is to be liberally granted. See Loreley Fin. (Jersey) No. 3 Ltd. v. Wells Fargo Sec., LLC, 797 F.3d 160, 190 (2d Cir. 2015).

In this case, amendment would not be futile. Plaintiffs have:

- asserted a claim for breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing;
- alleged facts demonstrating that Defendant planned to impose advertising on consumers long before Plaintiffs purchased their products;
- explained how the imposition of ads negatively affected consumers' use and enjoyment of the Slingboxes and caused them harm; and
- narrowed the proposed Class to consumers who purchased their Slingboxes in the State of New York.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this matter.

Very truly yours,

Adam Gonnelli